

SEP 06 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. Ser. No.:	Filed:	Inventor(s):	Atty Dkt:
09/826,383	4 April 2001	S. Yoshida	114GI-143 (0694-143)
Title: Electromagnetic Noise Suppressor, Semiconductor Device Using the Same, and Method of Manufacturing the Same			
Examiner: Khiem Nguyen		Art Unit: 2823	

Mail Stop AF
Comm'r for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

4 pages VIA FACSIMILE
571-273-8300

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION

Dear Sir:

In complete and timely response to the Office action mailed 1 June 2005, in which the rejections were designated as final, reconsideration and reexamination of the subject application, in light of the following remarks, are respectfully requested.

The sole rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 7-17, 19-21, 29-30, 35-37, 43, and 44 is based on the alleged obviousness of those claims over a newly cited reference, Inomata (US 6,069,820). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The action alleges that applicants' previous amendments necessitated the new ground of rejection. Those amendments included, *inter alia*, in all of the rejected claims that the "M component is present [in the M-X-Y composition] in an amount effective for [the] film to exhibit a saturation magnetization of 35 to 80% relative to the saturation magnetization of a bulk metal body consisting exclusively of the M component." However, the rejection admits, in multiple places, that Inomata does not explicitly disclose this limitation. Accordingly, the finality of the rejection is not proper because the examiner admits that the newly

09/826,383

Page 1 of 4

114GI-142